



# CRA Insights: Financial Markets

CRA Charles River  
Associates

March 2011

## In this issue

Earnings delay announcements, a potentially important factor in certain securities class actions, have not been empirically studied until now. This study demonstrates that delay announcements can result in a significant negative stock price reaction. However, evaluation of the facts and circumstances on a case-by-case basis will be required to assess the effect of a particular earnings delay on the issues of loss causation or damages.

## What happens when companies announce an earnings delay?

Several securities class actions over the past decade have featured announcements of earnings delays as alleged corrective disclosures. Figure 1 shows a selection of securities class actions involving earnings delay announcements associated with significant stock price reactions. Despite their potential relevance to securities litigation, earnings delay announcements have not been studied empirically. Our research fills this research void.\* We document a significant negative stock price reaction, on average, to companies that announce an earnings delay. In addition, we show that this reaction is likely due to an expectation on the part of investors of deteriorating future financial performance.


Figure 1: Selected securities class actions involving earnings delay announcements

Company	Stock return on delay announcement date
Interpublic	-24%
Nortel Networks	-28%
Peregrine Systems	-50%
Refco	-45%
Reliant Resources	-14%
Sonus Networks	-10%

Searching electronic databases of press releases and newswires, we identified a sample of 449 earnings delay announcements over the 12-year period between 1995 and 2006 made by companies trading on a US stock exchange. Given the number of US public companies, each making four quarterly earnings announcements per year, our first observation is that earnings delay announcements occur relatively infrequently. Even for companies in our sample, the average number of earnings delay announcements is only one.

\*To view our complete working paper, [click here](#).

Figure 2: Earnings delay announcements over time



Although fairly uncommon, earnings delay announcements have become more frequent over time. As Figure 2 shows, 49% of the delay announcements we identify occur between 2004 and 2006. In terms of their occurrence throughout the year, earnings delay announcements tend to be concentrated in the months of January to March, with the majority of these delays relating to the reporting of fourth-quarter earnings. As Figure 3 shows, 47% of the delay announcements we identify occur during this three-month period.

Figure 3: Earnings delay announcements throughout the year





Figure 4 describes the stock market reaction to our sample of earnings delay announcements. The average one-day stock return on the announcement of an earnings delay is -6.6%. However, we find that investors' perception of earnings delay announcements is affected by the reason offered for the delay.

In approximately 60% of our sample where a delay is related to an incomplete accounting process (e.g., audit not yet completed) or specific accounting issue (e.g., revenue recognition, inventory accounting, impairment testing), the average stock market reaction ranges between -7.5% and -9.0%. In roughly 25% of our sample where a delay is attributed to a business event (e.g., merger), the stock market reaction averages only -3.9%. An accounting rule change or other relatively innocuous reason for delay, such as bad weather, is offered in approximately 10% of our sample. These reasons do produce statistically significant average announcement stock returns. In roughly 5% of our sample where companies do not provide a reason for delay, the stock market reaction averages -10.3%. This last finding is consistent with investors assuming the worst in the absence of any specific information to the contrary.

Figure 4: Stock market reaction to earnings delay announcements




Consistent with investors' negative reaction to earnings delay announcements, we also find that companies making such announcements tend to experience subsequent earnings declines. Figure 5 shows that when our sample companies eventually announced the delayed quarter's earnings per share (EPS), the results were 2.8% lower, on average, than EPS in the same quarter of the prior year.<sup>1</sup> Annual results

<sup>1</sup> All earnings per share figures are presented as a percentage of stock price on the day before the earnings delay announcement.

post an even steeper average decline: 5.5% lower EPS in the year of the delay versus the prior year. This decline also persists into the next year with annual EPS in the year after the delay 4.0% lower, on average, than the year before the delay. Lastly, our research shows that worse stock price reactions at the time of the earnings delay announcement in Figure 4 are generally associated with worse future financial performance in Figure 5. This last finding suggests that investors, unless told otherwise, interpret earnings delay announcements as having negative consequences for earnings and that investors' expectations are generally realized.

Figure 5: Changes in EPS around earnings delay announcements



Where securities class actions involve earnings delay announcements, our research suggests evaluation of the facts and circumstances on a case-by-case basis will be required to assess the effect of a particular earnings delay announcement with respect to the issues of loss causation or damages.

The conclusions set forth herein are based on independent research and publicly available material. The views expressed herein are the views and opinions of the authors and do not reflect or represent the views of Charles River Associates or any of the organizations with which the authors are affiliated. Any opinion expressed herein shall not amount to any form of guarantee that the authors or Charles River Associates has determined or predicted future events or circumstances and no such reliance may be inferred or implied. The authors and Charles River Associates accept no duty of care or liability of any kind whatsoever to any party, and no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any party as a result of decisions made, or not made, or actions taken, or not taken, based on this paper. If you have questions or require further information regarding this issue of *CRA Insights: Financial Markets*, please contact the contributor or editor at Charles River Associates. Detailed information about Charles River Associates, a registered trade name of CRA International, Inc., is available at [www.crai.com](http://www.crai.com).

This research was conducted in collaboration with Huijing Fu at Texas Christian University and K. Ramesh at Rice University.

### About our Financial Markets Practice

CRA's Financial Markets Practice provides advanced consulting services to corporate clients and attorneys. We specialize in applying the tools, principles, and findings of finance, economics, and accounting to complex litigation and business problems. Companies, law firms, and government agencies rely on CRA for high-quality research and analysis, expert testimony, and comprehensive support in litigation and regulatory proceedings. Our reputation is built on exceptional client service and our ability to present innovative and pragmatic solutions to complicated challenges. For additional information about how CRA's financial experts can help you with your litigation and regulatory needs, please visit [www.crai.com/financialmarkets](http://www.crai.com/financialmarkets).

### Contact

For more information about this CRA Insights, please contact:

**Christopher Noe**, Vice President  
[cnoe@crai.com](mailto:cnoe@crai.com)

**Tiago Duarte-Silva**, Senior Associate  
[tduarte@crai.com](mailto:tduarte@crai.com)

[www.crai.com](http://www.crai.com)